TERMS OF REFERENCE FOR INDIVIDUAL CONSULTANT TA II MTR International Consultant

TERMS OF REFERENCE (to be comple Hiring Office:	UNFPA Pacific Sub Regional Office (PSRO)
Hinng Olice.	UNFFA Facilie Sub Regional Office (FSRO)
Purpose of consultancy:	To undertake the Transformative Agenda Programme Phase II Mid-term Review
Scope of work:	1.Background:
(Background, Description of services, Methodology, activities, or outputs)	The Transformative Agenda (TA) for Women, Adolescents and Youth in th Pacific: Towards Zero Unmet Need for Family Planning – Phase II programm is a strategic initiative, which aims at transforming the lives of women adolescents, and youth in the Pacific through improved sexual ar reproductive health and rights (SRHR) by 2027. The programme, which spar from May 2023 to June 2027, focuses on nine Pacific countries (Fiji, Kiribar Federated States of Micronesia, Nauru, Marshall Islands, Samoa, Solomo Islands, Tonga, and Vanuatu) and is generously supported by the Australia Department of Foreign Affairs and Trade (DFAT) by a budget of AU 42,500,000.
	This programme was developed through strategic consultative process alor with sound stakeholders' engagement and inclusion to ensure Pacific's nation priorities and needs are met as well as the country level, regional and th Small Islands Developing States (SIDS) perspective for the Pacific region well-taken in the consideration focusing on the health, well-being and huma rights issues for better and healthy life. Moreover, the TA programme wa designed with concrete synergies with other initiatives and pillars that DFA and other country donors and institutions are funding such as DFAT funded th Pacific Women Lead (PWL) programme 2021-2026, which TA Phase programme contributes to PWL goals and outcomes particularly in relation women's rights realised (diverse women and adolescent girls have improve access to quality health services, especially sexual and reproductive health Additionally, TA phase II programme contributes to Australia's Partnerships fr a Healthy Region Initiative announced by the Foreign Minister, Senator Penn Wong on 23 February 2023.
	In this context, the TA phase II programme seeks to significantly improv SRHR, with a focus on reducing unmet need for family planning in nine (s countries out of 14 Pacific countries that UNFPA Pacific Sub-Regional Offic (PSRO) assists under the new 7th Multi-Country Programme (MCP7) fo 2023-2027. TA Phase II establishes a synergistic set of three Outcomes, s Outputs, with contributing strategic interventions, focused on supply, demar and fostering an enabling environment as per the programme resul chain/framework.
	In line with TA Phase II programme context and to ensure sound tracking an monitoring of the programme interventions implementation, a Mid-Term Revie is planned to be undertaken to i) Assess programme performance in terms of progress towards achieving the envisaged results at the regional and counter level in order to enhance the accountability of the UNFPA towards i stakeholders, donors and partners and ii) Generating high quali evidence-based information and data, which will serve as a basis for makin strategic adjustments to the TA II programme and supporting decision makin at country and regional level. This MTR should provide concret recommendations on strengthening synergies with DFAT's Region Development Programmes i including the Pacific Women Lead and Health Region Initiative,. The MTR is aligned with UNFPA PSRO 7th Multi-Counter Programme 2023-2027 and will be a potential key resource for PSRO contribution to UNFPA Strategic Plan 2026-2029. The MTR will be undertake according to DFAT Development Evaluation Mechanism as well as aligned wit United Nations Evaluation Group (UNEG) standards, norms and principles, addition to Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) Development Assistance Committee (DAC) criteria.
	2.TA phase II Results Chain/Framework The Transformative Agenda for Women, Adolescents and Youth in the Pacifi Towards Zero Unmet Need for Family Planning – Phase II resul chain/framework was developed by adopting the key principles of th Results-Based Management (RBM) strategy, particularly, Programm Accountability, National Ownership and the Inclusiveness and stakeholder engagement that led to develop and design a robust results chain structure based on the TA phase II programme Theory of Change and the outcomes

the intensive consultations with different countries backed by a 4-day Phase II Design Workshop, which was attended by eight countries out of the nine selected countries with a participation from other partners, UN agencies and DFAT. The 4 -day design workshop resulted in development and design of strategic interventions and milestones that paved the way ahead to have in place country-based roadmaps that illustrate each country's specific and unique priorities and interventions. Accordingly, the TA phase II programme results chain/framework establishes a synergistic set of three Outcomes, six Outputs, with contributing strategic interventions, focused on supply, demand and fostering an enabling environment, as follows:

Impact (Ultimate Goal):

Transformative change in the lives of women, adolescents and youth, including those populations most left behind, through improved SRHR in the Pacific by 2027 with a focus on Family Planning in nine Pacific countries

Outcome 1:

Increased availability of quality integrated SRHR information and services, across general populations and those most left behind, particularly for family planning.

Output 1.1:

Strengthened and expanded delivery of quality integrated SRHR information and services inclusive of those most left behind, across the development-humanitarian continuum.

Output 1.2:

Strengthened training and supervision systems support sustainable, quality, rights-based SRH services.

Outcome 2:

Increased demand for SRHR information and services inclusive of those most left behind, particularly for family planning.

Output 2.1:

Social acceptability and support for SRHR/FP at community level increased, especially for contraceptive use.

Output 2.2:

FLE institutionalized in schools and scaled-up in communities.

Outcome 3:

A more conducive and supportive environment inclusive of those most left behind to access and benefit from quality integrated SRHR information and services, especially contraceptive choice.

Output 3.1:

Targeted changes in evidence-based legislation, public policy and programming that supports universal SRHR with focus on enabling and sustaining improvements achieved with support from the TA.

Output 3.2:

Provide focused support for Policies, official guidance and costed operational plans formalizing support for TA priorities.

3. Purpose of the Review

The Mid-Term Review's (MTR) main purpose is two-fold: i) Assess programme performance in terms of progress towards achieving the envisaged results at the regional and country level in order to enhance accountability of the UNFPA towards its stakeholders, donors and partners. ii) Generate high quality evidence-based information and data, which will serve as a basis for making strategic adjustments to the TA II programme and supporting decision making at country and regional level. More specifically, the review will assess the relevance, effectiveness, efficiency, and governance of the programme from May 2023 to April 2025. The review will provide insights into the progress made towards achieving the programme's results, particularly in improving access to quality integrated SRHR information and services.

4. Objectives

The overall objective of the MTR is to assess the continued relevance, effectiveness and efficiency of the Transformative Agenda and the progress made towards achieving its planned overall result - Towards Zero Unmet Need for Family Planning.

This encompasses several subsequent specific objectives of the Mid-Term Review as follow:

 Provide insights and in-depth understanding of the overall programme progress including capturing good practices, lessons learned, challenges along with recommendations at strategic and operational levels to support the programme progressing and informing its structure and results chain for the remainder of the TA Phase II (June 2025- May 2027).

- Assess Relevance: Evaluate the alignment of the programme's goals and activities with the needs of target populations, including those most left behind.
- Evaluate Effectiveness: Measure the extent to which the programme has achieved its intended outcomes and outputs, particularly in family planning services.
- Analyze Efficiency: Review the resource utilization in relation to the outputs and outcomes achieved to determine how effectively resources have been used.
- Examine Governance: Assess the program management, coordination, reporting, and monitoring mechanisms in place to collect evidence regarding the impact of interventions.

5.Scope of the Review

The review will encompass:

Geographical Focus: The nine Pacific countries involved in the programme: Fiji, Kiribati, Federated States of Micronesia, Nauru, Marshall Islands, Samoa, Solomon Islands, Tonga, and Vanuatu.

Timeframe: The review of activities and outputs from May 2023 to April 2025.

Key Areas for Analysis: The MTR seeks to address the following:

- Availability and quality of SRHR information and services, including for those most left behind.
- Progress made at the level of the zero unmet need of family planning
- Demand and social acceptability for family planning and contraceptive use.
- Review the progress made and achieved at the Family Life Education (FLE) programme that focuses on the in-school as well as the out of school adolescents and young people related to SRH rights, knowledge, attitude and perception.
- Legislative, policy changes and political will in support of SRHR including addressing unmet need for FP..
- Stakeholders' engagement and community involvement.

6. Methodology

The review will employ a mixed-methods approach, including qualitative and quantitative ones such as:

- Literature Review: Review and analysis of programme documents, reports, and relevant literature.
- Interviews: Conducting interviews with key stakeholders, including programme staff, partners, beneficiaries, government officials and community leaders.
- Surveys: Administering surveys to gather quantitative data on service access and community perceptions.
- Field Visits: Conducting site visits to selected programme locations to observe implementation and gather firsthand insights.

Key Questions to be Answered through the MTR

Relevance:

1. To what extent has the TA Phase II programme addressed national priorities and needs of the population vis-à-vis the UNFPA and donor country strategic mandates?

2. To what extent has the TA Phase II programme been able to respond to changes in the national development context and in relation to the humanitarian crisis in the country/community?

3. To what extent have TA Phase II programme supported interventions been aligned with the UNFPA Strategic Plan, national development plans and priorities, and international policy and normative frameworks related to development and humanitarian action?

Effectiveness:

1.To what extent has TA Phase II programme progressed against its outputs (short-term results) as well as to what extent it has contributed to the outcomes (mid-term results) and the impact (the long-term result)?

2.To what extent has the TA Phase II programme contributed to improving access and use of affordable quality sexual reproductive health (SRH) services

and rights, particularly family planning information and services amongst adolescents & youth, persons with disabilities and women of reproductive age? 3.To what extent the TA Phase II programme progressed in the Family Life Education (FLE) that contributes to the overall programme goal and mid-term results related to SRHR? 4.To what extent have TA Phase II programme supported interventions contributed to a sustained increase in the use of demographic and socio-economic information and data by national authorities and external stakeholders in the development and implementation of plans, programmes and policies to improve access to SRH services, including in areas associated with gender equality, adolescents and youth, and population dynamics? 5. Has the TA Phase II programme support in gender equality contributed to the empowerment of women and girls and the prevention and response to gender-based violence and harmful practices, especially in crisis-affected settings? 6.To what extent do the interventions from the TA Phase II programme have enhanced community support and social acceptability for sexual and reproductive health and rights (SRHR), particularly in promoting contraceptive use among adolescents, youth, and persons with disabilities (PWD)? What impact have these efforts had on increasing access to affordable, quality SRHR services and information, including rights-based family planning and family life education? 7.To what extent has the programme progressed on crosscutting strategic approaches like integration of SRH services, government-NGO coordination, strengthening capacity of country implementing partners to deliver high quality BCC and FLE; organising and leveraging networks of existing community structures to elevate voice in support of SRHR and FP and accelerating BCC programming through a mix of regional and country level engagement. Efficiency 1.To what extent has TA Phase II programme used available financial and human resources as well as its technical expertise in an efficient manner to achieve the intended results of its planned programme? 2.To what extent did the intervention mechanisms (financing instruments, human resource policies, rules and procedures, administrative regulatory framework, and timing) foster or hinder the achievement of the programme outputs/results? 3.To what extent has TA Phase II programme disbursed the funds efficiently and in a timely manner as per donor's agreement as well as implementing partners' signed agreements and annual work plans? Programme Governance including Monitoring and Reporting This criterion will focus on the programme management and the quality assurance of the work. In particularly, it will try to answer the following auestions: 1.Is the TA Phase II programme MELA plan framework adequate and fit for purpose? To what extent is it aligned with country M&E processes? Are there any recommended revisions to the MELA plan framework and approach? 2.Do UNFPA and their implementing partners have adequate systems and processes in place to monitor, track, capture and report on the extent to which interventions/milestones and outputs are contributing to the achievement of outcomes and impacts? 3.Are the governance arrangements appropriate and sufficient? Have agreed Transformative Agenda governance arrangements been adhered to and are they proving appropriate for overseeing the programme, responding to lessons learned and managing risks? 4. What are the strengths and weaknesses of TA phase II programme including UNFPA PSRO's designing, planning, management, implementation, risk management and reporting processes for the Transformative Agenda? How could they be improved in case this is needed? 7.MTR Team Setup and Structure To ensure a smooth undertaking of the planned MTR, a team will be recruited to commence and conduct the MTR within the proposed timeline in 8 MTR Timeline. The MTR will be led by International Consultant as below:: 7.1.MTR Team Leader/Technical Adviser (TL/TA) (International) The TL/TA will be responsible for the entire MTR exercise and will work as technical adviser in SRH thematic area who will lead the MTR different phases and tasks including the MTR methodology design, data collection, analysis and interpretation as well as the MTR reports drafting and finalization. In particular, he/she will commence the following:

	 The International Consultant will be Technical Adviser who has the overa delivering the full MTR. This will thematic components/interventions results framework along with over assessing programme coordination effectiveness and efficiency. Specific tasks as per the MTR Terms of Refer Leads and oversees the I and guides it within the comilestones and tasks as national consultant his/h well-developed action plan Undertakes a literature rewithe TA phase II program team. Creates and develops the methodology, and incorport partners as necessary. Manages the Mid-Term Refer Directs and manages revier review team as needed. Facilitates remote interviet the TA 9 countries using v close collaboration with na Leads and facilitates team MTR team receives regula Collaborates closely with stakeholders for consultative. Ensures the MTR aligns v M&E standards and the Te Gathers, synthesizes, and needed for the MTR. Develops all key deliverab and finalization processes. 	all responsibil be through a outlined in its rall MTR cons n and assist cally, this consi rence: MTR entire te ontext of the I s well as as her responsib n for each cons view for all ess me provided e Evaluation I borates feedt eview (MTR) p hieve MTR obj web activities, ews and cons web-based pla ational consultant discussions ar updates. th national ions and scheet with DFAT poli erms of Refere d analyzes all poles, managing	lity for leading addressing s programm sultancy To tance throu ultancy inclu- eam (4 nati MTR scope signs and vilities and sultant. sential and l by UNFPA Plan, includ back from process to e jectives. representing sultations wi atforms and ants. and reflec consultants dule of the i icies and gue ence require I primary ar	ng, managin TA II progra ne documer R. In additi ugh the leu udes the foll onal consul of work, pla designates duties with key docume a, in particul ling the eva DFAT and effectively le g the ith stakehole innovative to tions, ensur to identifi nterviews. uidelines, in- ments.	amme tt, the ion to ns of owing tants) anned each hin a ents of ar TA uluation other verage ders in ools, in ing the fy key cluding ry data
Duration and working schedule:	8. MTR timeline				
	1 Initiation Phase				25
		1&E	12/24/24	12/31/24	25 5
	1.1 ToR Drafting M	1&E ons Holidays	12/24/24	12/31/24	
	1.1 ToR Drafting M Sease	ons Holidays	12/24/24 2/1/25	12/31/24 2/15/25	
	1.1ToR DraftingMSease1.2ToR Approval (UNFPA and DFAT)D1.3Initiating of the recruitmentD	ons Holidays D/DFAT		-	5
	1.1 ToR Drafting M Sease 1.2 ToR Approval (UNFPA and DFAT) D 1.3 Initiating of the recruitment D	ons Holidays D/DFAT D/IPC/M&E	2/1/25	2/15/25	5
	1.1ToR DraftingM1.1ToR DraftingSease1.2ToR Approval (UNFPA and DFAT)D1.3Initiating of the recruitmentD1.4Division of Labor (Responsibilities)IP2Conception/Inception Phase	ons Holidays D/DFAT D/IPC/M&E	2/1/25 2/15/25	2/15/25 2/18/25	5 14 3
	1.1ToR DraftingMSease1.2ToR Approval (UNFPA and DFAT)D1.3Initiating of the recruitmentD1.4Division of Labor (Responsibilities)IF	ons Holidays D/DFAT D/IPC/M&E PC/M&E	2/1/25 2/15/25	2/15/25 2/18/25	5 14 3 3
	1.1 ToR Drafting M Sease 1.2 ToR Approval (UNFPA and DFAT) D 1.3 Initiating of the recruitment D 1.4 Division of Labor (Responsibilities) IF 2 Conception/Inception Phase MTR Inception Report Drafting (including final work plan, methodology and tools) Te	ons Holidays D/DFAT D/IPC/M&E PC/M&E eam	2/1/25 2/15/25 2/18/25	2/15/25 2/18/25 2/21/25	5 14 3 3 20
	1.1 ToR Drafting M Sease 1.2 ToR Approval (UNFPA and DFAT) D 1.3 Initiating of the recruitment D 1.4 Division of Labor (Responsibilities) IF 2 Conception/Inception Phase MTR Inception Report 2.1 Drafting (including final work plan, methodology and tools) Te 2.2 Inception Report Te 5.0 Submission Te	ons Holidays D/DFAT D/IPC/M&E PC/M&E eam	2/1/25 2/15/25 2/18/25 3/10/25	2/15/25 2/18/25 2/21/25 3/24/25	5 14 3 3 20 14
	1.1 ToR Drafting M Sease 1.2 ToR Approval (UNFPA and DFAT) D 1.3 Initiating of the recruitment D 1.4 Division of Labor (Responsibilities) IF 2 Conception/Inception Phase MTR Inception Report 2.1 Drafting (including final work plan, methodology and tools) Te 2.2 Inception Report Te 2.3 Final Inception Report Te	ons Holidays D/DFAT D/IPC/M&E PC/M&E eam	2/1/25 2/15/25 2/18/25 3/10/25 4/24/25	2/15/25 2/18/25 2/21/25 3/24/25 4/30/25	5 14 3 3 20 14 6

	3.2 Compilation of data and Te	eam 5/25/25 6/5/25 10		
	4 Data Analysis	25		
	4.1 Qualitative and Quantitative Te Data Analysis	eam 6/5/25 6/20/25 15		
	4.2 Final analysis and findings Te	eam 6/20/25 6/30/25 10		
	5 MTR Final Report	20		
	4.1 Final Report Drafting Te	eam 6/30/25 7/10/25 10		
	4.2 Final report zero draft Te submission	eam 7/10/25 7/10/25 0		
	4.3 Final report adjustment Te	eam 7/20/25 7/31/25 10		
	4.4 Final Report Submission Te	eam <u>8/1/25 8/1/25 0</u>		
		120		
Place where services are to be delivered: Delivery dates and how work will be delivered (<i>e.g.</i> electronic, hard copy etc.):	 as well as the national consultant in the TA II countries as mentioned and stated in the geographical focus under 5. Scope of review: Geographical Focus: The nine Pacific countries involved in the programme: Fiji, Kiribati, Federated States of Micronesia, Nauru, Marshall Islands, Samoa, Solomon Islands, Tonga, and Vanuatu. The level of effort is estimated at maximum 60 working days within 			
Monitoring and progress control, including reporting requirements, periodicity format and deadline:	 by the TA M&E Specialist along with This includes the following: 1. Fortnight regular meetings v of offices for on ground prog 2. Monthly review meeting with progress. 	d progress monitoring will be undertaken the oversight by the IPC and TA team. with the TA IPC, M&E Specialist and head gress update by the consultancy team. In TA team regarding the overall MTR aluation reference group (ERG) for		
Supervisory arrangements:	As per the UNFPA Evaluation Hand the MTR will be managed and oversi 1.UNFPA PSRO International Prog The review will be overseen by the (IPC) who will provide guidance to leader and the national consultants senior management as well as implementation. 2. TA M&E Specialist: The MTR consulting team will be din Evaluation (M&E) Specialist, who will	ramme Coordinator: TA International Programme Coordinator M&E Specialist as well as the MTR team . The TA IPC will regularly update PSRO DFAT of the MTR progress in the rectly supervised by the TA Monitoring and I ensure the review aligns with programme ions. He will provide regular updates to the		

	 While the MTR oversight will be done by IPC and the direct supervision by the M&E Specialist, the TA team along with Thematic Leads (SRH, A&Y, PD, Humanitarian and Gender) will provide technical support and insights to the MTR exercise during the implementation as well as the review of the MTR drafts, reports and preliminary and final findings. On the other hand, the MTR will have a higher level of oversight and governance by the Evaluation/MTR Reference Group (ERG) that will be put in place and tasked to provide guidance and constructive feedback on different products of the review, hence contributing to both the quality and credibility of the exercise. Throughout the process of the review, the ERG will be invited to discuss and comment on notes and reports produced by the MTR team. The ERG will be formulated from the following members and entities: Department of Foreign Affairs and Trade (DFAT) UNFPA Regional Monitoring and Evaluation Adviser- Asia and Pacific Regional Office (APRO) Deputy Director- PSRO TA II Programme International Programme Coordinator (IPC)- UNFPA PSRO National Line Ministry/ies of the Pacific Region 1-2 UN sister agencies (UNICEF and WHO) Regional Technical Implementing Partner(s) 	
	Members of the ERG are also expected to facilitate the evaluation access to sources of information and documentation on the activities evaluation.	
Expected travel:	Travel is expected under this consultancy	
Required expertise, qualifications and competencies, including language requirements:	 Travel is expected under this consultancy MTR Team Leader/Technical Adviser (TL/TA) (International) Minimum of Master's degree in Public Health, Demography, Socia Sciences, Development Studies or a related field. Minimum of 10 years professional experience in conducting/managing programme evaluations in the field of international development and/or humanitarian action. Extensive previous experience in leading and conducting complex evaluations and reviews, especially evaluations commissioned by international organizations or development agencies. Thematic expertise in one of the UNFPA mandate areas (SRHR population and development, adolescents and youth or gender equality). Excellent analytical, writing and communication skills. Leadership and good management skills. Experience in gender mainstreaming and management or cross-cutting themes. Familiarity with the UNFPA work will be an added advantage. Experience of similar exercises in development as well as humanitarian crisis situations, in particular a good experience and knowledge of the Pacific Islands Countries and Territories (PICTs). Previous experience in conducting evaluations or reviews with UNFPA offices will be considered an asset. Development sector background. Ability to work with a multi-cultural and multi-disciplinary team o experts. Excellent problem identification and solving skills. 	
Payment Schedule	UNFPA will pay the consultant based on the deliverables submission and approval by the TA M&E Specialist based on the endorsement of the TA International Programme Coordinator. The payment installment are as below:	
	Deliverables	% of Payment
	Approval of the Inception Report detailing the proposed methodology, timeline, and tools. April 2025.	30%
	A Zero Draft Mid-Term Review Report	40%

	highlighting findings, analyses, and recommendations. June 2025		
	A Final Mid-Term Review Report incorporating feedback from stakeholders. August 2025	30%	
		100%	
	For the Application Requirements:		
	Interested individual potential candidates must submit and information to demonstrate their experiences and	-	
	1. Detailed personal Curriculum Vitae including the relevant and past experience in similar domain and scope of work, including at least 3 references.		
	2. A cover letter that articulates why he/she is the mo this assignment.	ost suitable candidate for	
	 All payments will be based upon outputs, i.e. upo the services specified in the Terms of Reference and Manager. 		
	 Previous work samples (at least 2 work samples) r scope of work, ideally evaluation reports. 	elated to the consultancy	
Inputs / services to be provided by UNFPA or implementing partner (e.g support services, office space, equipment), if	UNFPA PSRO represented by TA team at different cou the MTR team Leader/International consultant and the regarding the following:		
applicable:	 TA II programme documents, reports and info period (May 2023- April 2025). 	rmation cover the review	
	 Administrative and logistical support including countries and partners 	the communication with	
	3. The consultant will use their own laptop.		
Other relevant information or special conditions, if any:	SRP07SRH/PROGHRSUPTTASRO/AUB35/PU		
	ce :Haider Rasheed TA M&E Specialist-PSRO	igned by:	
Brian Kironde- TA International Programme C	Ho	9C26D044D2	
Dr. Mateen Shaheen- Deputy Director/ Deput			
Anajali Sen- Director/ Representative- PSRC		en slialieen 2F229FD418	
	lyali Sen 10-Feb-2025		